

Panaji, 15th July, 2021 (Asadha 24, 1943)

SERIES II No. 16

OFFICIAL GAZETTE



GOVERNMENT OF GOA

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

Note:- There is one Extraordinary issue to the Official Gazette, Series II No. 15 dated 08-07-2021 namely, Extraordinary dated 08-07-2021 from pages 323 to 324 regarding Notification from Department of General Administration.

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Department of Co-operation

Office of the Registrar of Co-operative Societies

Order

No. 15-348-ADT/RCS/2020/765

Ref.: 1. Order No. 15-348-ADT/RCS/2020/2143 dated 25-09-2020.

Whereas, in terms of Section 68 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, restrictions were imposed on the various Co-operative Societies for not complying the statutory provision of Section 74(3) & (4) of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001.

And whereas, the Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Quepem Zone, Quepem-Goa, vide letter dated 16-02-2021 has informed that society at Sr. No. 21 Shree Kamaxi Urban Co-op. Credit Society Ltd., Curchorem-Goa and society at Sr. No. 26, The Loliem Poinguinim Secondary School Staff Co-operative Credit Society Ltd., Loliem-Goa of order referred above, amongst the enlisted on whom restrictions were imposed, have conducted audit subsequently after imposition of restrictions.

And whereas, as per the provisions of Section 68(2) of The Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, powers to modify or cancel any directions issued under sub-section (1) of Section 68 lies with the Government.

Now therefore, considering aforesaid fact and circumstances as mentioned above, in exercise of the powers under Section 68(2) of the Goa

Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, the Government is pleased to withdraw the restrictions imposed on Shree Kamaxi Urban Co-op. Credit Society Ltd., Curchorem-Goa and The Loliem Poinguinim Sec. School Staff Co-op. Credit Society Ltd., Loliem-Goa, of the aforesaid order.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Arvind B. Khutkar, Registrar & ex officio Joint Secretary (Co-op. Societies).

Panaji, 28th June, 2021.

Order

No. 15/344/ADT/RCS/2020/779

Whereas due to outbreak of Covid-19 which is still continuing and due to various restrictions imposed by the State Government, the societies are findings it difficult to comply with the statutory requirements within the time frame assigned under the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 (Goa Act 36 of 2001) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"). Further, due to social distancing norm imposed by the Government, the societies are also unable to convene their General Body meetings.

And whereas, the Government decided to exempt the societies from the restriction of the time limit specified in certain sections of the said Act and allowed the societies further time to comply with the provision of these sections.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 126A of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001 (Goa Act 36 of 2001), the Government of Goa hereby exempt the Co-operative Societies from the provisions to the extent specified below:

- (i) Under Section 72(2) of the said Act, meeting as stated therein shall be held on or before 31st day of December, 2021.

- (ii) Under Section 73(4) of the said Act, the accounts as stated therein shall be prepared on or before 30th day of June, 2021.
- (iii) Under Section 74(4) of the said Act, the accounts as stated therein shall be caused to be audited on or before 31st day of December, 2021.
- (iv) Under Section 81 of the said Act, returns shall be filed on or before 31st day of December, 2021.

This order shall come into force with immediate effect and shall remain in force till 31st December, 2021.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Arvind Khutkar, Registrar & ex officio Joint Secretary (Co-op. Societies).

Panaji, 28th June, 2021.

Order

No. 3/8/Urban Credit/TS II/QZ/2020/RCS/842

Read: 1. Order No. 3/8/Urban Credit/TS II/QZ/2020/RCS/5725 dated 02-03-2020.

2. Notification No. 3/3/Urban Credit/TS-11/PZ/2017/RCS/Suppl/5306 dated 10-02-2020.

3. Letter No. QUCCS/HORCS/2021-2022/137 dated 21-04-2021, received from the Chairman of The Quepem Urban Multipurpose Co-op. Society Ltd., Quepem-Goa.

In exercise of powers conferred upon me vide Notification read at Sr. No. 2 above and considering the request made by the Chairman, The Quepem Urban Multipurpose Co-op. Society Ltd., Quepem-Goa vide letter referred to at Sr. No. 1 above I, Arvind B. Khutkar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies, hereby extend the term of the Sale-cum-Recovery Officer appointed vide Order No. 3/8/Urban/Credit/TSII/QZ/2020/RCS/5725 dated 02-03-2020 referred to hereinabove, up to 31-03-2022.

All other terms and conditions mentioned in the order shall remain unchanged.

Arvind B. Khutkar, Registrar (Co-op. Societies).

Panaji, 6th July, 2021.

Office of the Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies

No. RES-(a)26/BZ/Goa/277

Read: 1. Certificate of Registration dated 04-09-1996 of Deendayal Nagari Sahakari Path Sauntha Maryadit, Bicholim-Goa.

2. This office Memo No. RES-(a)26/BZ/Goa dated 22-06-2021.

Consequent upon the change in classification vide amendment as Sr. No. 02 of above, the certificate of Registration of Deendayal Nagari Sahakari Path Sauntha Maryadit, Bicholim is amended as under:

Amended Certificate of Registration

The Certificate of Registration dated 04-09-1996 bearing code symbol No. RES(a)26/NZ/Goa stands amended with immediate effect to the following extent.

The sub-classification of the society appearing in the registration certificate referred at Sr. No. 1, be read as Deendayal Multipurpose Co-operative Society Ltd., Deendayal Bhavan, Bicholim-Goa in place of Deendayal Nagari Sahakari Path Sauntha Maryadit, Bicholim Under classification No. 10(C) under sub-rule (1) of Rule 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Pankaj V. Marathe, Asstt. Registrar (Co-op. Societies), Bicholim Zone.

Sankhali, 22nd June, 2021.

Department of Education, Art & Culture

Directorate of Higher Education

Order

No. ACAD III/SHEC/Filling of Posts/02/2019/1790

Read: Memorandum No. ACAD III/SHEC/Filling of Posts/02/2019/607 dated 28-04-2021.

On the recommendation of the Goa Public Service Commission as conveyed vide their letter No. COM/I/5/78(2)/2019/957 dated 17-02-2021, Government is pleased to appoint Shri Niyan Joseph Savio Marchon to the post of Professor for Teaching, Learning and Educational Technology for State Higher Education Council (Group "A" Gazetted) under Directorate of Higher Education on temporary basis in the Pay Matrix Level 14 with immediate effect, and as per the terms and conditions contained in the Memorandum cited above.

Shri Niyan Joseph Savio Marchon shall be on probation for a period of one year extendable by a maximum period of one year in case of unsatisfactory performance.

Shri Niyan Joseph Savio Marchon has been declared medically fit by the Medical Board. The appointment is made subject to the verification of his character and antecedents. In the event of any adverse remarks noticed by the Government on verification of his character and antecedents, his services shall be terminated.

He shall draw his salary against the newly created post vide Order No. ADMN/ASSESSMENT OF MANPOWER/28/2018/2843 dated 25-09-2018.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Prasad Lolayekar, IAS, Director (Higher Education) & Executive Director (SHEC).

Porvorim, 05th July, 2021.

Directorate of Technical Education
College Section

Order

No. 16/286/OTT/GEC/DTE/12-13/695

Approval of the Government is hereby conveyed for the transfer of Shri Balkrishna M. Chodankar, Lecturer in Mechanical Engineering, Government Polytechnic, Bicholim to Goa College of Engineering, Farmagudi with effect from 29-06-2021 against the vacant post of Assistant Workshop Superintendent at Goa College of Engineering,

Farmagudi, vacated by Shri D.V. Shirodkar w.e.f. 30-06-2016 (a.n.) vide No. 4/44/83-GEC(E)/1220 dated 30-06-2016.

Shri Balkrishna M. Chodankar shall sign a bond to serve five years from the date of his joining at Goa College of Engineering.

Shri Chodankar is transferred at his own request and shall not be entitled for transfer TA and joining time. He shall complete works of current academic term at Government Polytechnic, Bicholim as per schedule.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Dr. Vivek B. Kamat, Director & ex officio Additional Secretary (Technical Education).

Porvorim, 29th June, 2021.

Directorate of Art & Culture

Order

No. DAC/Acctts/RBB/Committee/2020-21/1071

In exercise of powers conferred under Clause 5 of the Constitution of Ravindra Bhavan, Baina-Goa, the Government is pleased to nominate Shri Jayant Jhadav, R/o Sasmollem, Baina, Vasco-Goa as the Chairman of Ravindra Bhavan, Baina-Goa with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Sagun R. Velip, Director & ex officio Joint Secretary (Art & Culture).

Panaji, 8th July, 2021.

Department of Environment & Climate Change

Order

No. 30-3-2021/ENVT&CC/DIR/COURT-MATTER/237

Sub.:- Constitution of Committee as directed by the Hon'ble NGT (Principal Bench in O.A. No. 42/2021 (WZ) Royle C Fernandes V/s State of Goa & Ors. dated 22-06-2021.

Ref.:- Order in O.A. No. 42/2021 (WZ) dated 22-06-2021.

That Royle C. Fernandes had filed application bearing OA No. 42/2021 (WZ) before the National Green Tribunal seeking directions that the road constructed is in violations of environmental norms in construction of balance stretch of Margao National Highway Western Bypass Road through the villages Seraulim to Benaulim, which is 2.75 K.m. from wholesale fish market road Seraulim to Varca road (Ch. 31/900 to Ch. 34/650). The road is being constructed by the PWD, Goa through Respondent No. 13 - M/s. M. Venkata Rao, Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd., Caranzalem, Goa.

By the order dated 22-06-2021, the Tribunal had issued that following direction at para 10 stating as "..... 10. Accordingly, we direct constitution of a ten member joint Committee comprising SEIAA, Goa, Goa State Biodiversity Board, Goa State Wetland Authority, PWD, Goa, Goa Coastal Zone Management Authority (GCZMA), District Magistrate, South Goa, Water Resources Department, State PCB, a nominee of National Wetland Authority and a nominee of PCCF (HoFF), Goa. The State PCB and SEIAA, Goa will be the nodal agency for co-ordination and compliance. A meeting of the Committee may be held within two weeks and after taking stock of the situation, plan of action may be prepared and executed. The Committee may also undertake visit to the site as early as possible. An action taken report may be filed within three months by e-mail at judicialngt@gov.in preferably in the form of searchable PDF/OCR Support PDF and not in the form of Image PDF. The applicant is at liberty to make representation to the Committee through the nodal agency."

The State Government hereby constitutes the Committee with the following members:-

Sr. No.	Agency	Representative	Designation
1	2	3	4
1.	GCZMA	Chairperson, GCZMA	Chairperson of Committee.
2.	District Magistrate South	District Magistrate South	Member.
3.	Goa-SEIAA	Member Secretary, Goa- SEIAA	Member.
4.	GSBB	Member Secretary, GSBB	Member.
5.	GSWA	Arch. Manguesh Prabhugaonkar, Member, GSWA	Member.
6.	PWD	Principal Chief Engineer or his representative not below the rank of Chief Engineer	Member.
7.	WRD	Chief Engineer	Member.
8.	NWA	Nominee appointed by NWA	Member.
9.	PCCF	Shri Saurabh Kumar, CF	Member.
10.	GSPCB	Shri Sanjeev Joglekar, Sr. EE	Member Convener/Nodal Person.

The term of reference of Committee are as mentioned in the NGT Order dated 22-06-2021. This is issued with the approval of the State Government vide U.O. No. 4082 dated 06-07-2021.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Dasharath M. Redkar, Director & ex officio Jt. Secretary (Environment & Climate Change).

Panaji, 07th July, 2021.

Department of Finance

Directorate of Accounts

Order

No. DA/Admn/45-2/2020-21/TR-495/82

On the recommendation of the D.P.C. as conveyed by the Public Service Commission vide Letter No. COM/II/11/1(1)/2021/55 dated 31-05-2021, Government is pleased to promote the following Assistant Accounts Officers under the Common Accounts Cadre of the Directorate of Accounts to the post of Dy. Director of Accounts/Accounts Officer (Group "A" Gazetted) in Level 10 of the Pay Matrix (i.e. Pay Band PB-3 Rs. 15,600-39,100, Grade Pay Rs. 5,400/- pre-revised).

Sr. No.	Name of the Officers
---------	----------------------

- | 1 | 2 |
|-----|---|
| 1. | Smt. Shanti U. Lotlikar. |
| 2. | Smt. Sunita B. Singh. |
| 3. | Smt. Manisha D. Cascar. |
| 4. | Shri Uday C. Wadkar (SC). |
| 5. | Smt. Neeta A. Borkar. |
| 6. | Shri Marcelo Rodrigues. |
| 7. | Smt. Saroj S. Naik. |
| 8. | Smt. Victoria Gonsalves. |
| 9. | Smt. Bharati B. Pai. |
| 10. | Shri Devendra Arlekar (SC). |
| 11. | Smt. Jivi S. Jethaji (SC). |
| 12. | Smt. Filomena L. D'Cruz Rodrigues (ST). |
| 13. | Shri Naresh S. Mangueshker (ST). |
| 14. | Shri Agostinho Fernandes (ST). |
| 15. | Shri Nilesh R. Gaonkar (ST). |

The above Officers shall be on probation for a period of two years from the date of joining to the post of Dy. Director of Accounts/Accounts Officers.

Government is further pleased to order the posting of the following Dy. Director of Accounts/Accounts Officers under the Common Accounts Cadre in the Departments shown against their names:-

Sr. No.	Name of the Dy. Director of Accounts/ /Accounts Officer	Place of present posting as Assistant Accounts Officer	Proposed place of posting on promotion to the post of Dy. Director of Accounts/ /Accounts Officer
1	2	3	4
1.	Smt. Shanti U. Lotlikar	Government Polytechnic Mayem, Bicholim-Goa	Directorate of Higher Education, Porvorim-Goa, thereby relieving Shri Subraj S. Kanekar, Deputy Director of Accounts of the additional charge.
2.	Smt. Sunita B. Singh	Holding post of Dy. Director of Accounts on officiating basis in the Department of Information Technology, Altinho, Panaji-Goa with additional charge in the Department of Tourism, Panaji-Goa	Department of Information Technology, Altinho, Panaji-Goa.
3.	Smt. Manisha D. Cascar	Holding post of Dy. Director of Accounts on officiating basis in the Directorate of Agriculture, Tonca, Caranzalem-Goa with additional charge in the Kala Academy Goa, Campal, Panaji-Goa	Kala Academy, Campal, Panaji-Goa (on deputation).
4.	Shri Uday C. Wadkar (SC)	Department of Tourism, Panaji-Goa	Department of Tourism, Panaji-Goa, thereby relieving Smt. Sunita B. Singh, Deputy Director of Accounts of the additional charge.
5.	Smt. Neeta A. Borkar	Directorate of Accounts, Panaji-Goa	Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan, Margao-Goa (on deputation) thereby relieving Shri Martinho Fernandes, Deputy Director of Accounts of the additional charge.
6.	Shri Marcelo Rodrigues	Directorate of Sports & Youth Affairs, Panaji-Goa	Directorate of Accounts, South Branch Office, Margao-Goa thereby relieving Shri Francisco X. Rodrigues, Deputy Director of Accounts of the additional charge.
7.	Smt. Saroj S. Naik	Directorate of Accounts, Panaji-Goa	Directorate of Accounts, Panaji-Goa.
8.	Smt. Victoria Gonsalves	Central Education Zone, Panaji-Goa	North Goa Zilla Panchayat, Panaji-Goa (on deputation) thereby relieving Shri Dilip S. Morajkar, Dy. Director of Accounts of the additional charge.

1	2	3	4
9. Smt. Bharati B. Pai	Directorate of Accounts, Panaji-Goa	Entertainment Society of Goa, Panaji-Goa (on deputation) thereby relieving Smt. Milan S. Vaingankar, Deputy Director of Accounts of the additional charge.	
10. Shri Devendra Arlekar (SC)	Corporation of the City of Panaji, Panaji-Goa (on deputation)	Directorate of Accounts, Panaji-Goa and on working arrangement in Imagine Panaji Smart City Development Limited, Panaji-Goa, thereby relieving Shri Sarvottam P. Satardekar, Deputy Director of Accounts of the additional charge.	
11. Smt. Jivi S. Jethaji (SC)	Government Polytechnic, Altinho, Panaji-Goa	Food & Drugs Administration, Bambolim-Goa.	
12. Smt. Filomena L. D'Cruz Rodrigues (ST)	Directorate of Urban Development, Panaji-Goa	O/o the Administrative Medical Officer, Employees State Insurance Corporation, Panaji-Goa thereby relieving Smt. Savita Kokodkar, Dy. Director of Accounts of the additional charge.	
13. Shri Naresh S. Mangueshker (ST)	Directorate of Education, Porvorim-Goa	Directorate of Agriculture, Tonca, Caranzalem, Tiswadi-Goa.	
14. Shri Agostinho Fernandes (ST)	Directorate of Accounts, South Branch Office, Margao-Goa	Goa Meat Complex, Panaji-Goa (on deputation) relieving thereby Smt. Maria Brenda Colacao, Deputy Director of Accounts of additional charge.	
15. Shri Nilesh R. Gaonkar (ST)	O/o the Principal Chief Engineer, PWD, Altinho, Panaji-Goa	Commercial Taxes, Altinho, Panaji-Goa.	

The newly promoted Dy. Director of Accounts/Accounts Officers shall however continue to hold additional charge of the post of Assistant Accounts Officers held by them prior to their promotion until further orders.

Further, the Government is also pleased to order the transfer/additional charge to be held by the following Deputy Director of Accounts/Accounts Officer under the Common Accounts Cadre in the Department as shown against their names in public interest:-

Sr. No.	Name of the Dy. Director of Accounts/ /Accounts Officer	Place of present posting	Proposed place of posting/ /additional charge
1	2	3	4
16.	Smt. Maria Brenda Colacao	Commercial Taxes, Altinho, Panaji-Goa	Sanjay School, Porvorim-Goa (on deputation) thereby relieving Shri Kishore D. Kothambikar, Dy. Director of Accounts of the additional charge.

1	2	3	4
17. Shri Rudreshwar Shetye	Food & Drugs Administration, Bambolim-Goa, with additional charge in Goa State Urban Development Agency, Panaji-Goa		Goa State Urban Development Agency, Panaji-Goa (on deputation).

The above promoted officers may exercise an option for fixation of pay under F.R. 22(1)(a)(i) within one month from the date of promotion.

The posting of Officers at Sr. Nos. 3, 5, 8, 9, 14, 16 & 17 will be on deputation basis initially for a period of one year. They shall be governed as per the standard terms of deputation contained in the O.M. No. 13/4/74-PER dated 20-11-2013 of the Personnel Department, Government of Goa. The Organization/Agency shall be liable to pay to the Government leave salary and pension contribution in respect of these Officers at the prescribed rates. On expiry of the deputation period, the Officers shall necessarily be relieved to report back to the Parent Department, unless the deputation period is extended by the competent authority. Overstayal for any reason whatsoever, shall render them liable for disciplinary action.

The above mentioned officers who are newly promoted to the post of Dy. Director of Accounts/Accounts Officer shall join their promotional post within 15 days of issue of the promotion order.

On joining their promotional/new post, the officers shall send their CTC/Joining reports immediately to this Directorate.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Dilip K. Humraskar, Director & ex officio Jt. Secretary (Accounts).

Panaji, 30th June, 2021.



Department of Labour

Order

No. 28/10/2021-LAB/297

Whereas, the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Muktar Automobiles Private Limited, Plot No. L-128, Phase III-B, Verna Industrial Estate, Verna, Goa, and its workman, Shri Yogesh Soundalkar, Technician, represented by the Goa Union of Industrial Workers, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute");

And whereas, the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa at Panaji-Goa, constituted under Section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

- "(1) Whether non-employment of Shri Yogesh Soundalkar, Technician, with effect from 22-06-2020 in the organization of M/s. Muktar Automobiles Private Limited, Plot No. L-128, Phase III-B, Verna Industrial Estate, Verna, Goa, amounts to refusal of employment or voluntary resignation?
- (2) Based upon the findings on issue No. (1) above, what relief the workman is entitled to?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Amalia O. F. Pinto, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 25th June, 2021.

Notification

No. 28/2/2021-LAB/Part-II/276

The following award passed by the Industrial Tribunal and Labour Court, at Panaji-Goa on 16-04-2021 in Ref. No. IT/20/03 is hereby published as required under Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Amalia O. F. Pinto, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 18th June, 2021.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AND
LABOUR COURTGOVERNMENT OF GOA
AT PANAJI(Before Mr. Vincent D'Silva, Hon'ble Presiding
Officer)

Ref. No. IT/20/03

Workmen,

Rep. by Menezes Industrial

Complex Employees Association,

Gomantak Mazdoor Sangh,

Nirankal Road,

Curti, Ponda, Goa

... Workmen/Party I

V/s

1. M/s. Cosmed Analytical
and Central Services,
CMM Bldg., Rua de Ourem,
Panaji-Goa ... Employer/Party II (1)
2. M/s. Cosmed Analytical
and Central Services Pvt. Ltd.,
Rua de Ourem,
Panaji, Goa. ... Employer/Party II (2)
(Added as per order
dated 03-02-2005)
3. M/s. Cosme Farma
Laboratories Ltd.,
Dempo Towers, 5th Floor,
Patto, Panaji, Goa. ... Employer/Party II (3)
4. M/s. Wallace Pharmaceu-
ticals Pvt. Ltd.,
Dempo Towers, 3rd Floor,
Patto, Panaji, Goa. Employer/Party II (4)
(Added as per order
dated 27-11-2008)
5. M/s. Beiersdorf (I) Ltd.,
Nirankal Road, Curti,
Ponda, Goa. ... Employer/Party II (5)
(Added as per order
dated 27-11-2008)
6. M/s. Colfax (India) Pvt. Ltd.,
Curti, Ponda, Goa. ... Employer/Party II (6)
(Added as per order
dated 27-11-2008)

Workman/Party I represented by Ld. Adv. Shri S. P.
Gaonkar.

Employer/Party II (1) absent.

Employer/Party II (2) Ex-parte.

Employer/Party II (3) represented by Ld. Adv. Shri P.
Chawdikar.Employer/Party II (4) represented by Ld. Adv. Shri S.
M. Singbal.Employer/Party II (5) represented by Ld. Adv. G. K.
Sardessai.Employer/Party II (6) represented by Ld. Adv. Shri S.
M. Singbal.

AWARD

(Delivered on this the 16th day of the month
of April of the year 2021)

By Order dated 31-03-2003, bearing No. 28/26/
/2002-LAB/1047, the Government of Goa in exercise
of powers conferred by Section 10 (1)(d) of the
Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (for short The Act),
has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal
for adjudication.

“(1) a) Whether the action of the management of
M/s. Cosmed Analytical and Central
Services, Curti, Ponda-Goa in terminating
the services of their workpersons namely;
Ashok Vernekar, Sr. Lab. Assistant, Fatima
Rodrigues, Telephone Operator, Inacina
Afonso, Sr. Lab. Assistant, with effect from
1-11-2001, under the pretext of closure, is
legal and justified?

(b) If not, what relief the above workmen are
entitled to?

(2) (a) Whether the action of the management of
M/s. Cosmed Analytical and Central
Services, Curti, Ponda, Goa to refuse to
concede to the demands raised by Menezes
Industrial Complex Employees Association
to absorb these workmen by partner
Companies, as per staff settlement, is legal
and justified?

(b) If not, what relief the workperson are
entitled to?”

2. Upon receipt of the reference, it was registered
as IT/20/2003 and registered A/D notices were
issued to both the parties. Pursuant to service of
notices, Party I filed a claim statement at
Exhibit 4. It is a matter of record that Party II (2) to
Party II (6) were impleaded thereafter. The
Party II (2) filed the written statement at Exh. 9,
Party II (3) filed the written statement at Exh. 29,
Party II (4) filed the written statement at Exh. 33,
Party II (5) filed the written statement at Exh. 31
and the Party II (6) filed the written statement at
Exh. 32.

3. In short, the case of Party I is that the
Party II (1) is a partnership firm formed by CMM
Group of Companies to cater to the common
services such as personal, engineering, security,
quality control etc. The CACS was formed by

companies namely; CFL Pharmaceuticals Pvt. Ltd. (ii) Wallace Pharmaceuticals Pvt. Ltd. and (iii) Beiersdorf India Pvt. Ltd. The personnel and administration was common and the personnel were on the roll of CACS and they used to sign the wage settlement on all behalf. The service conditions and benefits such as pay scale and allowances were common and governed by common settlement. All the staff and workers of the group companies were unionized and several settlements were signed by the Union. The Party II in the month of February, 2000 issued a closure notice but due to intervention of Labour Commissioner it was withdrawn and thereafter Party II started harassing the workers in several ways. The Party II although displayed a notice w.e.f. 01-11-2001 for closure notice, it was not a closure at all and normal working of common services were continued. The activities of the Company were taken over by M/s. Wallace Pharmaceuticals Pvt. Ltd., thus it was clear that to avoid implementation of settlement, fake closure was declared and the services of the workmen were terminated and new workers were employed by the Management. The activities of Party I continued and the Companies agreed in the settlement dated 13-04-1999 that employees would be re-deployed and the Management will not resort to retrenchment however, the said terms were not implemented by the Management. The partners of Party II (1) are all liable, jointly and severally and therefore the demand of the workmen is legal and justified. The termination of the workmen namely; Ashok Vernekar, Fatima Rodrigues and Inacian Alfonso is illegal and they were unemployed with no source of income. Hence, they are entitled for relief claimed.

4. In the Written Statement, Party II (2)/M/s. Cosmed Analytical and Central Services Pvt. Ltd. submitted that the establishment of Party II is closed. The termination of the services of Party I workmen is a result of closure of the establishment of Party II. The reference is bad-in-law as there is no industrial dispute existing or apprehended. The salaries of all the workmen were regularly paid by the Employer. Only Quality Control Department was purchased by M/s. Wallace Pharmaceuticals Pvt. Ltd. The workmen were working for Party II and the services were terminated on account of closure and all the workmen were gainfully employed after the termination. The termination of the workmen is in compliance with provisions of law and therefore it cannot be interfered.

5. In the Written Statement, the Party II (3)/M/s. Cosme Farma Laboratories Ltd., has submitted that the Party II (3) along with 12 other partners retired from CACS w.e.f. from 18-04-2000 and therefore they are not aware of what transpired in the employment of Party I workmen. However, the said employees had continued to remain after 18-04-2000 with the employment of reconstituted partnership firm CACS which was taken over by Mr. Carlos Menezes and CACS Pvt. Ltd. The above workmen were not on the rolls of M/s. CFL Pharmaceuticals Ltd. and therefore Party I are not entitled to any relief against Party II (3).

6. In the Written Statement, the Party II (4) M/s. Wallace Pharmaceuticals Pvt. Ltd., contended that there is no liability of Party II (4) towards the claim of Party I workmen since there never existed any relationship of employer and employee between Party I and Party II (4) as Party II (4) along with 12 other partners had retired from M/s. CACS w.e.f. 18-04-2000 as per Deed of Retirement dated 18-04-2000. However, the said employees continued to remain in the services of M/s. CACS which was operated by partners namely; Carlos Menezes and CACS Pvt. Ltd. since they had taken over all assets and liabilities of CACS. The alleged termination of the workmen had taken place much after Party II (4) retired from the partnership firm. The retiring partners are not responsible or liable for any demand of the employees or workers or any other claim towards any statutory dues or liabilities of the erstwhile firm as per the said Deed of Retirement. There is no cause of action against Party II (4) and as such reference is not maintainable. No relief against Party II (4) therefore could be granted.

7. In the written statement, the Party I I(5)/M/s. Beiersdorf (I) Ltd. contended that there is no liability towards claim of the Party I workmen and there was no employer-employee relationship. The Party II (5) retired from the partnership by way of Deed of Retirement w.e.f. 18-04-2000 and as per the said Deed the retiring partners shall not be liable for demands of the employees and the workers by virtue of the earlier settlement or otherwise and the retiring partners shall not be liable or responsible towards any statutory dues or liabilities and consequent to the retirement of the Party II (5), they are absolved of all the liabilities and responsibilities of the erstwhile partnership. There was no employer-employee relationship between Party II (1) and Party II (5). The CACS was merely a service provider not only to Party II (5) but to other Companies too. The Party II(5) is a Company incorporated under Companies Act and there was

no communality in the Books of Account vis-à-vis the other Companies and therefore Party I is not entitled for any relief.

8. In the Written statement, the Party II (6)/ M/s. Colfax (India) Pvt. Ltd. contended that there is no liability towards the claim of the Party I workmen since there never existed any relationship of the employer-employee between Party II (1) and Party II (6). The Party II (6) along with 12 other partners retired from CACS w.e.f. 18-04-2000 and as per the said Deed of Retirement there cannot exist any such relationship however, the said employees continues to remain in the services of CACS which was operated by continuing partners namely; Carlos Menezes and CACS Pvt. Ltd. since they have taken over all the assets and liabilities of CACS after 18-04-2000. The alleged termination of workmen had taken place after they retired from partnership. They are not responsible or liable for any demand of the employees and workers by virtue of the Deed of Retirement. There is no cause of action against Party II (6) and as such the reference is not maintainable.

9. In the rejoinder at Exh. 10, Exh. 34, Exh. 35 and Exh. 36, the Party I denied the case put forth by the Party II companies in their Written statements.

10. Based on the averments of the respective parties, the following issues were framed at Exh. 40.

- 1) Whether the Party I proves that the group of companies had agreed to absorb the workers on the roll as on 30-04-96?
- 2) Whether Party I proves that the closure is fake and to avoid implementation of terms of understanding dated 30-04-96?
- 3) Whether Party I proves that the termination of services of the workmen was in violation of understanding dated 30-04-96?
- 4) Whether the Party I proves that the group of companies are liable to absorb the workmen?
- 5) What relief? What Order?

11. In support of the claim, Party I examined its first witness, Smt. Fatima Rodrigues and produced on record a copy of appointment letter dated 01-06-1973 at Exh. 49, a copy of confirmation letter dated 28-12-73 at Exh. 50, a copy of transfer letter dated 20-09-80 at Exh. 51, a copy of letter dated 20-09-80 to Party I by C.A.C.S. at Exh. 52, a copy of settlement dated 13-04-99 between the Union and the group of ten companies at Exh. 53, a copy of letter dated 18-08-01 by C.A.C.S. to the office of

ALC at Exh. 54, and a copy of notice dated 01-10-01 by C.A.C.S. to the Secretary, Labour and Employment at Exh. 55. During cross-examination, a copy of the records from the Registrar Office is taken and marked as Exh. 56. The Party I also examined Shri Ashok Vernekar as second witness and produced on record a copy of increment letter dated 28-05-1975 at Exh. 59, a copy of his promotion letter dated 24-11-97 at Exh. 60, a copy of his increment letter dated Exh. 61 and a copy of letter dated 22-06-74 at Exh. 62. On the other hand, the Party II (4) M/s. Wallace Pharmaceuticals examined Shri Eurico Noronha as witness and produced a copy of certificate dated 30-10-2012 at Exh. 69. The Party II (5), M/s. Beiersdorf India Limited examined its witness Shri Praful Patil and produced a copy of extract of register of Registrar of Firms at Exh. 73. The Party II (4), M/s. CFL Pharmaceuticals Ltd., examined Shri Uday Deshpande as its witness. The Party II (6), Colfax Laboratories (India) Private Limited examined Ms. Elvina Noronha as witness and produced on record a copy of letter dated 23-09-2013 of closure notice of the Factory at Exh. 78.

12. Heard arguments. Notes of Written arguments came to be placed on record by the parties.

13. I have gone through the records of the case and have duly considered the arguments advanced. My answers to the above issues are as follows:

Issue No. 1	...	In the Affirmative
Issue No. 2	...	In the Affirmative
Issue No. 3	...	In the Affirmative.
Issue No. 4	...	In the Affirmative.
Issue No. 5	...	As per final order.

REASONS

Issue No. 1:

14. It is an admitted fact that Party I workmen namely; Ashok Vernekar, Senior Lab Assistant, Fatima Rodrigues, Telephone Operator and Inacian Alfonso, Sr. Lab. Assistant were appointed by CACS Firm w.e.f. 01-11-2001. Both Fatima Rodrigues and Ashok Vernekar have been examined who claimed that they were employed by CACS. There is no dispute that CACS Firm was specifically formed to cater to common services required by the Partner Companies and that the Group used to sign common settlements with the Union. Exh. 53 is one such Joint Memorandum of Settlement dated 13-04-1999 u/s 2(p) of the Industrial Disputes Act between the Employers of group of 10 companies and the Union. There were various settlements for increase in wages etc. which were handled

together for all the group companies who were the individual partners in the CACS Firm as seen in the settlement at Exh. 53 including the names of partners involved in the present reference. The said settlement clearly mandates in general clause 2 that surplus staff would be redeployed and the Management would not resort to retrenchment. It is, therefore, clear that all the partners had agreed to absorb the workers as and when the decentralization takes place. The said commitment has not been followed by Party II partners as they claim that they have been retired and the Firm has been dissolved.

15. There is no dispute that CACS Firm had never issued any closure notice and that one which was issued was withdrawn by the partners as seen from the Written Statement. No Deed of Retirement or any document pertaining to the partnership except the Registrar of firms have been produced by the Parties. Learned Advocates for Party II have contended that the partners namely; Wallace Pharmaceuticals, Beiresdorf and Colfax Laboratories have retired from the partnership and that the partnership firm was dissolved in the year 2000 and the cause of action pertaining to the present reference is in the year 01-11-2001 and therefore the Parties who have already retired from the Firm cannot be made liable in order to grant relief as prayed as all the liabilities have been taken over by CACS Pvt. Ltd. as being the last partner before dissolution and since winding up petition is pending against CACS Pvt. Ltd., no Award can be passed. They further submitted that the settlement dated 13-04-1996 has been signed by only two representatives on behalf of CMM Group of Companies which is not a legal entity and the said persons have not been examined before the Court to prove that the settlement was signed by Parties mentioned therein and as such the settlement is not valid in the eyes of law as Party I has failed to challenge the issue of closure and filed a false claim.

16. Learned Adv. Shri S. P. Gaonkar for Party I has submitted and rightly so that it is mandatory on the part of the Parties contending that they have retired from the partnership or that new partners have been added or that the Firm itself has been dissolved that the retirement, dissolution or addition of the partners must be proved by leading evidence of the procedure contemplated under the Partnership Act, 1932. Needless to mention, the Deed of Retirement is not produced on record. Public notices and the notices in the Official Gazette which is mandatory for retirement, addition and dissolution as per Section 72 of the

Partnership Act, 1932 have also not been produced on record and therefore in the absence of the above documents, the partnership firm continues to be in existence and all the partners are liable for such act, notwithstanding their retirement, more so, when the addition of CACS Pvt. Ltd is not proved. The Hon'ble Allahabad High Court in the case of **Anil Kumar Singh v/s Kanak Prabha Dutta, 2003 ALL LJ 2015** has held that in the absence of retirement in the prescribed manner under the Act, the partners continued in the partnership.

17. It is also well settled in the case of **State of Kerala v/s Saroja, AIR 1987 KER 239** that when a partner pleads exemption from liability under Partnership Act he has to prove retirement, dissolution and addition etc. even if the other side does not dispute the same. It is therefore for all purposes the firm continues to be in existence so does the liability of the partners. The addition of CACS Pvt. Ltd. having not been proved in accordance with the Partnership Act, they cannot be considered as newly added partners. There is also no effect of winding up of CACS Pvt. Ltd. as firstly it is no longer a Party. Moreover, the winding up of a Company does not affect a reference under the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 as held in the case of **B. V. John v/s Coir Yarn and Textiles, AIR 1960 KER 247**. The Party I workmen namely, Fatima Rodrigues and Ashok Vernekar have reiterated their cases by way of affidavit-in-evidence and documents and thus proved their stands, on the contrary, the witnesses of Party II (4), Shri Eurico Noronha has stated that he joined in 2008 and deposing based on records and admitted that no public notices of retirement has been given and that all the partners of CACS firm were availing services of workers on the roll of CACS firm. The witness of Party II (5) Shri Praful Patil has stated that he was not involved with the company prior to 2016 and did not know what services were being taken from CACS firm. The witness of Party II (3) Shri Uday Deshpande was also not involved with the company prior to 2009. The witness of Party II (6) Elvina Menezes did not know who the partners of the partnership were and admitted that she had not seen the documents mentioned in her affidavit. It is therefore Party I has proved by way of evidence and documents that the group of companies had agreed to absorb the Party I workmen on its roll as on 13-04-1996 in terms of the Memorandum of understanding at Exh. 53 and hence the issue No. 1 is answered in the affirmative.

Issue No. 2:

18. There is no dispute that the only closure notice referred to by the Parties is the one given by CACS Pvt. Ltd., Party II (2). The Party II (2) although has filed a Written Statement had not stepped into the witness box. The said Partner Company was not the employer of the Party I workmen nor any documents have been brought on record to show that it had taken over services of the workmen. It is well settled in the case of **The Manager, M/s. Pyarchand Kesarimal Porwal Bidi Factory v/s Onkar Laxman Thenge & Ors., AIR 1970 SC 823** that the transfer of services of a workman from one employer entity to another is impermissible without tripartite agreement, that is between the employer, the employee and the third party, the effect of which would be to terminate the original contract of service and to make a new contract between the employee and the third party and so long as the contract of service is not terminated and the new contract is not made, the employee continues to be in the employment of the employer. When an employer orders him to do a certain work for another person, the employee still continues to be in his employment. The third party may pay his wages but the third party cannot dismiss him as the right of dismissal is with the employer. In the instant case, the Partner Companies, wanted to dissolve the firm and as such they are bound by the Settlement dated 13-04-1999 and because of the obligation of absorption which was upon them, they have made a claim of retirement and dissolution which has not been proved by the Party II partner companies. It is therefore the Party I sufficiently proved that termination of the services was in violation of Understanding dated 13-04-1999. Hence, issue No. 2 is answered in the affirmative.

Issue No. 3:

19. There is no dispute that the Parties had entered into the Settlement dated 13-04-1999 at Exh. 53 and that the said terms of the settlement have not been implemented by Party II group of partner companies, although it was specifically agreed that all the workmen on the rolls would be absorbed but the same was not followed. When the partnership was to be dissolved, the Understanding dated 13-04-1999 were to be honoured and therefore the termination/refusal of employment by Party II group of partner companies is in violation of Understanding dated 13-04-1999 and therefore Party I has proved issue No. 3 in their favour.

Issue No. 4:

20. There is no dispute that all the three workmen have worked for more than one year continuously as seen from their letters of appointment which are at Exh. 49 and 60 issued by CACS. There is no dispute that once the workman has completed one year continuous service in terms of 25-B(1), the Employer is bound to follow mandate of section 25-F which CACS has not followed and therefore the termination of the Party I workmen from 1-11-2001 under the pretext of closure is illegal and void. Section 25-F of Industrial Disputes Act places an embargo upon an Employer and that they are barred from retrenching an employee who has been in continuous service for not less than one year. The workmen have shown that they were with the Group since many years and once the workmen proves that they had actually worked for 240 days, it will be deemed to be continuous service for one year and therefore gets the protection of Section 25-F and hence cannot be removed unless retrenchment compensation have been paid to them.

21. The Hon'ble Bombay High Court in the case of **Sarita Melwani v/s Pallavi Talekar, 2008 (2) CLR 679** has held that under sub-section 1 of Section 25-B there is no requirement to show actual working for 240 days. Once it is proved by the workmen that the Party II has failed to comply with section 25-F of Industrial Disputes Act, the next question is on whom the liability of the workman would be fastened. It is well settled that in a partnership firm each and every partner is liable jointly and severally u/s 32 of the Act and therefore the newly added Parties would be liable for any Award passed in the present reference. The contention of Advocates for Party II that since the partners have retired by Deed of Retirement dated 18-04-2000, there would be no liability towards any past claim cannot be accepted as u/s 32 of the Partnership Act, the only way in which a partner can be discharged from his liability towards the third Party/workman would be by an agreement between him, the third Party and the remaining partners. There is no such agreement produced on record. The Apex Court in the case of **Syndicate Bank v/s R.S.R. Engineering Works and Ors. (2003) 6 SCC 265** has clearly held that the every partner is personally liable for the acts done by the partnership firm and therefore the Partner Companies continue to be liable towards the claim of the workman, hence all the partners are liable for the relief prayed by Party I and it is not open for partners to contend that the claim of workmen is limited to the assets and liabilities of the firm

which is taken over by Party II (2). It is therefore the partners group of companies are jointly and severally liable to absorb the Party I workmen and for other reliefs. Hence, issue No. 4 is also answered in the affirmative.

Issue No. 5:

22. The Party II partners have admittedly not paid any retrenchment compensation nor notice given by them while terminating the services of Party I workmen. It is well settled when the termination is illegal and that Party II Employer has not followed Section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act, the normal rule is that Party I workmen are entitled for reinstatement with full back wages and continuity of services. Learned Adv. Shri S. P. Gaonkar has submitted that the factors to be considered for the relief of reinstatement with full back wages are refusal of employment/termination was without following Section 25-F, long service put in by the workmen, number of years took for litigation; that the workmen are unemployed, date of refusal; and that the Employer has not shown any evidence of employment on the part of the workmen.

23. There is no dispute so also Learned Advocates for Party II partners have submitted and rightly so that the Party II workmen have already attained the age of superannuation. Shri Ashok Vernekar in his Affidavit has claimed that his age was 63 years, so also Fatima Rodrigues in her deposition has claimed that as on 13-03-2014, she was 58 years then, so also Inacina Alfonso has not been examined to prove date of birth nor there is anything on record that they were employed from the date of closure. The Party I therefore are not entitled for re-instatement as they have already attained the age of superannuation, however, they are entitled for the back wages which have to be calculated as full as they have been denied an opportunity to serve the Party II partner companies. Moreover, if the terms of settlement dated 13-04-1999 were honoured by the Employer, the Party I workmen would be working with any of the partner Companies which has been denied to them and therefore they are entitled for the relief of full back wages as claimed by them. Hence the above point is answered accordingly.

24. In the result, I pass the following:

ORDER

- (i) The reference stands partly allowed.
- (ii) It is hereby held that the action of the management of M/s. Cosmed Analytical and

Central Services, Curti, Ponda-Goa in terminating the services of their workpersons namely; Ashok Vernekar, Sr. Lab. Assistant, Fatima Rodrigues, Telephone Operator, Inacina Afonso, Sr. Lab. Assistant, with effect from 1-11-2001, under the pretext of closure, is illegal and unjustified.

- (iii) The Party II (1) and its partner companies in the reference are jointly and severally directed to pay full back wages to Party I workmen namely; Ashok Vernekar, Senior Lab. Assistant, Fatima Rodrigues, Telephone Operator and Inacina Alfonso, Sr. Lab. Assistant, including the retrenchment compensation under Section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act.
- (iv) The Party II (1) and its partner companies in the reference are jointly and severally directed to deposit back wages and the retrenchment compensation before the Tribunal as stated above within 60 days of the publication of the Award, failing which the Party II shall pay an interest @ 9% per annum.
- (v) Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-
(Vincent D'Silva)
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal and
Labour Court.

Department of Personnel

Order

No. 6/6/2021-PER/1586

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 43, of the Goa Civil Service Rules, 2016 (hereinafter to be called as said rules), the Government, in consultation with the Goa Public Service Commission, hereby relaxes Rule 38 of the said Rules, to consider the crucial date of eligibility for the appointment of the following Senior Scale Officers of Goa Civil Service to the Junior Administrative Grade from the date of completion of the requisite service in the Senior Grade instead of 1st April as provided under Rule 38 of the Rules:

Sr. No.	Name of the officer	1	2
1	2		
1.	Kum. Biju R. Naik.	15.	Shri Vinayak P. Volvoikar.
2.	Shri Umeshchandra L. Joshi.	16.	Smt. Meghana V. Shetgaonkar.
3.	Shri Surendra F. Naik.	17.	Smt. Darshana S. Narulkar.
4.	Shri Johnson B. Fernandes.	18.	Shri Ashutosh R. Apte.
5.	Shri Ajit Panchwadkar.	19.	Shri Parag Nagarcenkar.
6.	Shri Agnelo A. Fernandes.		
7.	Shri Dashrath M. Redkar.		
8.	Shri Narayan Gad.		
9.	Shri Devidas S. Gaonkar.		
10.	Smt. Florina S. Colaco.		
11.	Shri Ajit S. Pawaskar.		
12.	Smt. Sharmila Zuzarte.		
13.	Smt. Siddhi. T. Halarnkar		
14.	Shri Snehal P. Naik Goltekar.		

The order shall come into effect w.e.f. 04-07-2021.

This issues with the approval of the Goa Public Service Commission conveyed vide letter No. COM/II/11/42(1)/2021/359 dated 29-06-2021.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vishal C. Kundaikar, Under Secretary (Personnel-I).

Porvorim, 29th June, 2021.

Order

No. 5/2/2017-PER/1136

On the recommendation of the Goa Services Board, the Governor of Goa is pleased to order the transfer and posting of the following Junior Scale Officers of Goa Civil Service, in public interest, with immediate effect:-

Sr. No.	Name and present posting of of the officer	Posted as
1	2	3
1.	Shri Ajay Gaude, Chief Officer Curchorem, Cacora Municipal Council with additional charge of Member Secretary, Ravindra Bhavan, Curchorem	Deputy Collector (DRO), North with additional charge of Deputy Collector (Revenue), North.
2.	Shri Sudin Natu, Under Secretary in the office of Minister (Agriculture, TCP) with additional charge of Under Secretary (Revenue-I).	Under Secretary (Revenue-I).
3.	Shri Sachin Desai, Deputy Collector & SDO, Mormugao with additional charge of Member Secertary, Ravindra Bhavan, Baina	Assistant Commissioner of Commercial Taxes with additional charge of OSD in Imagine Panaji Smart City Development Ltd. (IPSCDL).
4.	Smt. Veera Nayak, Deputy Collector (DRO), North with additional charge of Deputy Collector (Revenue), North	Deputy Collector & SDO-II, Bardez.
5.	Shri Ramesh Gaonkar, OSD in Imagine Panaji Smart City Development Ltd., (IPSCDL)	Deputy Collector, South-1 with additional charge of Deputy Collector (DRO), South.
6.	Shri Dattaraj Krishna Gauns Dessai, Deputy Collector, South-1 with additional charge of Deputy Collector (DRO), South	Deputy Collector & SDO, Mormugao with additional charge of Member Secretary, Ravindra Bhavan, Baina.
7.	Shri Avit S. Naik, Newly promoted Junior Scale Officer of Goa Civil Service	Deputy Director (Admn.), Sports & Youth Affairs with additional charge of Administrative Officer, Goa State Commission for Protection of Child Rights.

1	2	3
8.	Shri P. A. Parab, Newly promoted Junior Scale Officer of Goa Civil Service	Deputy Director (Admn.), Mines.
9.	Smt. Pratima Braganza, Newly promoted Junior Scale Officer of Goa Civil Service	Assistant Commissioner of Commercial Taxes.
10.	Shri Milagres Soares, Newly promoted Junior Scale Officer of Goa Civil Service	Under Secretary (Lokayukta).

Shri Deepesh Priolkar, Deputy Director, Municipal Administration shall hold the charge of the post of Under Secretary in the office of Minister (Agriculture, TCP) in addition to his own duties.

Shri Nilesh Dhaigodkar, Deputy Collector & SDO, Quepem shall hold the charges of the posts of Chief Officer, Curchorem, Cacora Municipal Council & Member Secretary, Ravindra Bhavan, Curchorem in addition to his own duties.

Shri Mandar Naik, Deputy Director (Admn.), DSLR shall hold the charge of the post of Member Secretary, Goa Marathi Academy in addition to his own duties.

Dr. Geeta Nagvenkar, Administrator of Comunidade, Central Zone shall draw her salary from the post of Administrative Officer, Goa State Commission for Protection of Child Rights until further orders.

The officers shall complete handing over and taking over process with immediate effect and submit compliance.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vishal Kundaikar, Under Secretary (Personnel-I).

Porvorim, 3rd May, 2021.

Memorandum

No. 6/5/2021-PER/1561

- Read: (1) Memorandum No. 6/4/2016-PER/3257 dated 24-10-2017.
 (2) Order No. 6/3/2002-PER (Vol.I) dated 04-07-2017.
 (3) Order No. 6/3/2002-PER (Vol.I)/3069 dated 11-10-2017.
 (4) Memorandum No. 6/1/2015-PER/618 dated 20-01-2019.
 (5) Order No. 6/3/2002-PER (Vol.I)/940 dated 25-03-2019.
 (6) Order No. 6/3/2002-PER (Vol.I) 2373 dated 30-08-2019.
 (7) Order No. 6/9/2008-PER (Part II) (B)/3275 dated 25-11-2019.
 (8) Memorandum No. 6/39/2016-PER/351 dated 28-01-2020.
 (9) Order No. 6/11/2019-PER/596 dated 19-02-2020.
 (10) Order No. 6/13/2019-PER/972 dated 15-04-2020.
 (11) Memorandum No. 6/4/2016-PER/1025 dated 28-04-2020.
 (12) Order No. 6/16/2013-PER/Part A/1161 dated 13-05-2020.
 (13) Memorandum No. 6/39/2016-PER/5183 dated 20-11-2020.
 (14) Order No. 6/11/2019-PER/1160 dated 10-05-2021.
 (15) Order No. 6/13/2019-PER/1393 dated 10-06-2021.

Whereas, the seniority of Senior Scale Officers was last finalized vide Memorandum cited at Sr. No. 1 in the preamble wherein the seniority of officers upto Sr. No. 73 was finalized and thereafter re-casted vide Memorandum dated 28-04-2020 cited at Sr. No. 11 above to include 3 more officers;

And whereas, all the Senior Scale Officers in the seniority list issued vide Memorandum dated 28-04-2020 cited at Sr. No. 11 read in the preamble have been promoted to Junior Administrative Grade or retired except officers at Sr. No. 17 and Sr. No. 41;

And whereas, Junior Scale Officers have been promoted to Senior Scale of Goa Civil Service vide Orders read at Sr. Nos. 2, 3, 5, 6, 9 and 14 in the preamble;

And now therefore, in accordance with their ranking of the select list prepared and conveyed by the Commission vide DPC minutes from time to time, the seniority of the Senior Scale officers of Goa Civil Service is drawn as follows:

Sr. No.	Name of the Officer	Date of Birth	Date of appointment in Junior Scale	Date of appointment in Senior Scale	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Shri Melvyn Vaz	09-03-1966	09-01-1998	29-07-2005
2.	Shri Gopal Parsekar	04-02-1965	22-06-1999 vide order dated 25-11-2019	09-12-2016
3.	Kum. Biju R. Naik	12-02-1971	29-08-2011	04-07-2017
4.	Shri Mahesh Corjuenkar	10-01-1961	29-08-2011	04-07-2017	Retired
5.	Shri Umeshchandra L. Joshi	13-11-1961	29-08-2011	04-07-2017
6.	Shri Surendra F. Naik	18-09-1972	29-08-2011	04-07-2017
7.	Shri Johnson B. Fernandes	25-05-1974	29-08-2011	04-07-2017
8.	Shri Ajit Panchwadkar	22-09-1967	29-08-2011	04-07-2017
9.	Shri Agnelo A. Fernandes	19-11-1968	29-08-2011	04-07-2017
10.	Shri Dashrath M. Redkar	04-10-1966	29-08-2011	04-07-2017
11.	Shri Narayan Gad	23-07-1968	29-08-2011	04-07-2017
12.	Shri Devidas S. Gaonkar	15-05-1967	29-08-2011	04-07-2017
13.	Smt. Florina S. Colaco	25-03-1978	29-08-2011	04-07-2017
14.	Shri Ajit S. Pawaskar	13-09-1963	29-08-2011	04-07-2017
15.	Smt. Sharmila Zuzarte	14-05-1967	29-08-2011	04-07-2017 (vide order dated 25-03-2019)
16.	Shri Pandharinath N. Naik	13-06-1959	29-08-2011	04-07-2017	Retired.
17.	Smt. Siddhi T. Halarnkar	18-05-1977	29-08-2011	04-07-2017
18.	Shri Snehal P. Naik Goltekar	20-09-1974	29-08-2011	04-07-2017
19.	Shri Vinayak P. Volvoikar	25-02-1971	29-08-2011	04-07-2017
20.	Smt. Meghana V. Shetgaonkar	06-08-1978	29-08-2011	04-07-2017
21.	Smt. Darshana S. Narulkar	29-08-1977	29-08-2011	04-07-2017
22.	Shri Ashutosh R. Apte	08-05-1978	29-08-2011	04-07-2017
23.	Shri Parag Nagarcenkar	05-11-1969	29-08-2011	04-07-2017 (vide order dated 11-10-2017)
24.	Shri Bhushan K. Savoikar	05-05-1975	29-08-2011	30-08-2019
25.	Smt. Varsha S. Naik	15-02-1971	29-08-2011	30-08-2019
26.	Smt. Anju S. Kerkar	23-07-1975	29-08-2011	30-08-2019
27.	Shri Gouresh Kurtikar	25-05-1978	29-08-2011	30-08-2019
28.	Shri Brijesh D. Manerkar	11-05-1976	29-08-2011	30-08-2019
29.	Shri Santosh S. Kundaikar	19-11-1963	29-08-2011	30-08-2019
30.	Smt. Aisha Amir Vaingankar	05-05-1972	29-08-2011	19-02-2020
31.	Shri Francisco X. L. Ferrao	26-10-1961	01-07-2012 (vide order dated 13-05-2020)	19-02-2020 (vide order dated 10-05-2021)

1	2	3	4	5	6
32.	Smt. Neetal P. Amonkar	16-05-1975	25-11-2014	19-02-2020
33.	Smt. Sushama D. Kamat	24-06-1962	25-11-2014	19-02-2020
34.	Smt. Maria Seomara De Sousa	29-08-1961	25-11-2014	19-02-2020
35.	Shri Gaurish J. Shankhwalkar	25-02-1975	25-11-2014	19-02-2020
36.	Shri Arvind B. Khutkar	02-07-1977	25-11-2014	19-02-2020
37.	Shri Pipi T. Murgaonkar	28-02-1961	25-11-2014	19-02-2020	.Retired.
38.	Shri Sagun R. Velip	22-04-1974	25-11-2014	19-02-2020
39.	Shri Mahadev J. Araundekar	19-05-1967	25-11-2014	19-02-2020
40.	Shri Harish N. Adconkar	30-05-1977	25-11-2014	19-02-2020
41.	Smt. Sarita Sadashiv Marathe alias Gadgil	16-03-1974	25-11-2014	19-02-2020
42.	Smt. Sangeeta Sakaram Rawool alias Porob	20-08-1977	25-11-2014	19-02-2020
43.	Shri Umakant Nhanu Korkankar	06-07-1962	25-11-2014	19-02-2020
44.	Smt. Fransquinha Oliveira	10-12-1974	25-11-2014	19-02-2020
45.	Shri Pares M. Fal Desai	02-05-1974	25-11-2014	19-02-2020
46.	Shri Sudhir S. Kerkar	27-05-1974	25-11-2014	19-02-2020
47.	Shri Shankar B. Gaonkar	02-10-1980	25-11-2014	19-02-2020
48.	Smt. Triveni Paik Velip	28-04-1981	25-11-2014	19-02-2020

The seniority shall be subject to the decision that may be taken by the Government in the order of Hon'ble High Court passed in WP No. 1089/2016 and WP No. 240/2021.

The seniority shall be subject to the outcome of Civil Appeal No. 9587-9590 and 9591-9594/2016 filed in Hon'ble Supreme Court.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vishal C. Kundaikar, Under Secretary (Personnel-I).

Porvorim, 28th June, 2021.

Department of Power

Office of The Chief Electrical Engineer

Corrigendum

No. CEE/Estt.-31-25-88/GPSC/Part-III (B)/Vol.III/
/859

Read: Order No. 1. CEE/Estt-31-25-88/GPSC/Part-III(B)/Vol.III/170 dated 27-04-2021.

In the order read at preamble above (1), the name of the Official at Sr. No. 26 may be corrected to read as "Kum. Shilpa Dilip Kabadi" instead of "Kum. Shilpa Dilip Kapadi".

All other contents of the order remain unchanged.

Raghuvir G. Keni, Chief Electrical Engineer.

Panaji, 01st July, 2021.

Department of Public Health

Standard Operating Procedures on

No. 42/16/2021-I/PHD/1330

Administration of 2nd dose of Covishield vaccine prior to prescribed time interval (after 28 days but before 84 days) to persons intending to undertake international travel for education purpose for joining employment in foreign countries and for India's contingent to Tokyo Olympics.

The Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) issued by Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Government of India vide D.O. letter No./2072903/2021/IMMUNIZATION dated 7th June, 2021 on administration of 2nd dose of Covishield vaccine prior to prescribed time interval (after 28 days but

before 84 days) to persons intending to undertake international travel for education purpose, for joining employment in foreign countries and for India's contingent to Tokyo Olympics, is annexed herewith for awareness.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Gautami S. Parmekar, Under Secretary (Health).

Porvorim, 14th June, 2021.

SOPs on

Administration of Second Dose of Covishield Vaccine Prior to Prescribed Time Interval (after 28 days but before 84 days) to persons intending to undertake international travel for education purpose, for joining employment in foreign countries and for India's contingent to Tokyo Olympics.

1. Presently, based on the recommendations by National Expert Group on Vaccine Administration for COVID-19 (NEGVAC), the schedule of Covishield vaccine under National Covid-19 Vaccination Strategy is to administer the 2nd dose at 12-16 weeks interval (i.e. after 84 days), after administration of 1st dose.
2. The Union Ministry of Health & Family Welfare has received several representations for allowing administration of second dose of Covishield for such persons who have only taken first dose of Covishield and are seeking to undertake international travel for educational purposes or employment opportunities or for part of India's contingent for Tokyo Olympic games, but whose planned travel dates fall prior to completion of the currently mandated minimum interval of 84 days from the date of first dose.
3. The matter has been discussed in Empowered Group 5 (EG-5) and appropriate recommendations have been received. In this context, with a view to provide full coverage of vaccination and facilitating international travel for such genuine reasons, following procedure shall be followed for administration of second dose of Covishield vaccine for such beneficiaries:-
 - a. This special dispensation will be available to -
 - (i) Students who have to undertake foreign travel for the purposes of education.
 - (ii) Persons who have to take up jobs in foreign countries.

- (iii) Athletes, Sports persons and accompanying staff of Indian contingent attending International Olympic Games to be held in Tokyo.
- b. States/UT Governments shall designate a competent authority in each District for according permission for such administration of second dose of Covishield.
- c. The competent authority shall check the following before according a permission for administration of second dose before the period of 84 days after the date of first dose:-
 - (i) Whether a period of 28 days has elapsed after the date of first dose.
 - (ii) Genuineness of the purpose of travel based on documents related to-
 1. Admission offers or associated formal communications for the purpose of education.
 2. Whether a person is already studying in a foreign educational institution and has to return to that institution for continuing his/her education.
 3. Interview calls for a job or offer letters for taking up employment
 4. Nomination to participate in the Tokyo Olympic games.
- d. It is advised that vaccination may be availed in such cases through Passport which is one of the permissible ID documents as per the current guidelines, so that the passport number is printed in the vaccination certificate. However, if Passport was not used at the time of administration of first dose, the details of the photo ID Card used for vaccination will be printed in the vaccination certificate and mention of the Passport in the vaccination certificate is not to be insisted upon. Wherever necessary, the competent authority may issue another certificate linking the vaccination certificate with the passport number of the beneficiary.
- e. This facility shall be available to those who need to undertake international travel for these specified purposes in the period up to 31st August, 2021.
- f. All technical protocols as prescribed in the Guidelines of the Ministry regarding COVID Vaccination Centres and AEFI management etc. shall have to be followed.

4. It is clarified that Covishield, produced by the Serum Institute of India and approved by the DCGI, is one of the vaccines recognised by the WHO for emergency use as on 3rd June, 2021. The relevant entry is at S. No. 4 of the WHO EUL, available at <https://extranet.who.int/pqweb/sites/default/files/documents/Status%20of%20COVID-19%20Vaccines%20within%20WHO%20EUL-PQ%20evaluation%20process%20-%203%20June%202021.pdf>. Mention of vaccine type as "Covishield" is sufficient and no other qualifying entries are required in the vaccination certificates.
5. The Co-WIN system will soon provide the facility for administration of 2nd dose in such exceptional cases.
6. This is to clarify that these SoPs have been issued specifically only for Covishield because only the time interval between the 2 doses of Covishield has been increased from 6-8 weeks to 12-16 weeks. The period for COVAXIN has remained the same i.e. 4-6 weeks and hence there was no need for any special dispensation for 2nd dose of COVAXIN. It is also clarified that complete vaccination with COVAXIN is also sufficient for foreign travel.

Order

No. 23/20/2014-I/PHD/Part IV/1509

Read: Government Order No. 23/20/2014-I/PHD/
/Part IV/3093 dated 09-09-2020.

Government vide Order read at preamble had appointed Dr. Rajesh Patil, Professor in Surgery, Goa Medical College as the "Nodal Officer" for the South Goa District Hospital, Margao (COVID-19 Hospital, Margao).

In view of decline of COVID-19 cases in the State of Goa, Government hereby relieves Dr. Rajesh Patil, Professor in Surgery, Goa Medical College as the "Nodal Officer" for the South Goa District Hospital, Margao (COVID-19 Hospital, Margao) with effect from 16th July, 2021.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Gautami S. Parmekar, Under Secretary (Health).

Porvorim, 30th June, 2021.

Order

No. 45/4/2008-I/PHD/1511

On the recommendation of Goa Public Service Commission as conveyed vide their letter No.COM/II/11/24(2)/2017/86 dated 18-06-2021, Government is pleased to promote the following Health Officer to the post of Chief Medical Officer under Directorate of Health Services (Group 'A' Gazetted) on regular basis in Level 11 of Pay Matrix in 7th Pay Commission [PB-3 Rs.15,600-39,100 + GP:Rs.6,600/- (pre-revised)] and other allowances to be fixed as per rules, with immediate effect:-

(1) Dr. Lorna Audrey Maria Fernandez.

The promotion is made against the vacancy occurred due to voluntary retirement of Dr. Maximiano De Sa, Chief Medical Officer w.e.f. 02-08-2019.

The posting Order shall be issued separately.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Gautami S. Parmekar, Under Secretary (Health-II).

Porvorim, 1st July, 2021.

Order

No. 42/21/2020-I/PHD/1487

Read: Government Order No. 23/20/2014-I/PHD/
/Part IV/884 dated 23-04-2021.

In view of reduced caseload of COVID-19 cases, the E.S.I. Hospital, Margao is hereby de-notified as COVID-19 Hospital, Margao w.e.f. 30-06-2021. Also all outsourced and manpower services provided through Directorate of Health Services shall be discontinued w.e.f. 30th June, 2021.

The above Hospital shall be notified as COVID Hospital in future if required depending on exigencies.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vikas S. N. Gaunekar, Additional Secretary (Health).

Porvorim, 17th June, 2021.

Order

No. 2/2/2018-II/PHD/1246

Government is pleased to accept the technical resignation tendered by Dr. Amitash Ramchandra Balekar, Assistant Lecturer in the Department of Nephrology, Goa Medical College, Bambolim vide letter dated 03-06-2021 and he stands relieved from

the said post of Assistant Lecturer in the Department of Nephrology, Goa Medical College, Barnbolim-Goa with immediate effect in order to join his new place of posting at Sub District Hospital, Ponda under Directorate of Health Services.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Trupti B. Manerkar, Under Secretary (Health).
Porvorim, 29th June, 2021.

Order

No. 6/3/2021-III/PHD/1248

Consequent upon the superannuation of Dr. Yvonne Da Silva Pereira, Professor & HOD, in Psychiatry, IPHB w.e.f. 30-04-2021, Dr. Shilpa Waikar, Associate Professor in Psychiatry, IPHB shall hold the charge of Professor & Head of Department in Psychiatry, IPHB with immediate effect in addition to her own duties until further orders.

Consequent to above, Dr. Anil V. Rane, Lecturer shall hold the charge of Medical Superintendent, IPHB in addition to his own duties, until further orders.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Trupti B. Manerkar, Under Secretary (Health-I).
Porvorim, 30th June, 2021.

Certificate

No. 4/14/2002-II/PHD/Vol.I/1282

Read: Government No. 4/14/2002-II/PHD/Vol.I/262 dated 08-02-2021.

Certified that the character and antecedents of Dr. Pranav Pradip Nagarsenkar, Lecturer, Department of Surgery in Goa Medical College and Hospital, Bambolim mentioned in the above referred Order have been verified by the District Magistrate, South Goa District, Margao and nothing adverse has come to the notice of the Government.

Trupti B. Manerkar, Under Secretary (Health).
Porvorim, 06th July, 2021.

Corrigendum

No. 38/4/2016-I/PHD/1515

Read: Order No. 38/4/2016-I/PHD/1462 dated 28-06-2021.

In the second line of the Order dated 28-06-2021 read in preamble the health centre indicated in respect of Dr. Kameshwari Kadnekar may be read as "Community Health Centre, Sankhali" instead of "Community Health Centre, Valpoi".

Rest of the contents of the Order dated 28-06-2021, remains unchanged.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Gautami Parmekar, Under Secretary (Health-II).
Porvorim, 05th July, 2021.

Corrigendum

No. 2/35/2003-II/PHD/1286

Read: Order No. 2/35/2013-II/PHD/114 dated 15-01-2021.

In the Government Order read at preamble, the 1st para shall be substituted to read as under:- "Government is pleased to accept the notice of voluntary retirement dated 29-10-2020 tendered by Dr. Nadia Rangel Pinto, Lecturer in PSM, Goa Medical College on completion of 15 years of qualifying service in terms of Rule 48-A of CCS (Pension) Rules, 1972 and as per the provisions of O.M. No. 12/1/89/Fin(R&C) dated 29-11-1989 and is permitted to retire from service voluntarily and stand relieved with effect from 31-01-2021 (f.n.)".

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Trupti B. Manerkar, Under Secretary (Health).
Porvorim, 05th July, 2021.

Department of Revenue

Notification

No. 28/4/3/2019-RD-I/8327

In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (a) of Section 35 of the Goa Land Revenue Code, 1968 (Act No. 9 of 1969) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Code"), the Government of Goa being of the opinion that it is necessary, in the public interest for the purpose of carrying out the objects of the said Code, hereby exempts the land admeasuring an area of 3038.00 sq. mts. surveyed under Survey No./Sub-Div. No. 206/1-H of Village Anjuna, situated at Village Anjuna Taluka Bardez, District North Goa, State of Goa, acquired by the Government for the purpose of proposed parking and providing of basic

amenities at Anjuna Beach at Village Anjuna of Bardez Taluka, from the operation of the provisions of Sections 30, 32 and 33 of the said Code subject to the condition that the said land shall be used exclusively for the purpose of proposed parking and providing of basic amenities at Anjuna Beach.

This issues with the concurrence of the Finance Department vide their U.O. No. 1400068640 date 22-12-2020.

This notification shall come into force on the date of its publication in the Official Gazette.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Sudin A. Natu, Under Secretary (Revenue-I).
Porvorim, 05th July, 2021.

◆◆◆

Department of Town & Country Planning
Office of the Chief Town Planner (Admn.)

—
Corrigendum

No. 1/3/TCP(Part File)/2017-19/1077

Read: Order No.1/3/TCP(Part file)/2017-19/949 dated 17-06-2021.

In the above cited Order conveying the transfer of Group 'A' officers of the Town and Country Planning Department, the name of the Officer at Sr. No. 8 shall be read as "Shri Zaidev R. Aldonkar, Deputy Town Planner".

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

James Mathew, Chief Town Planner (Administration).

Panaji, 7th July, 2021.

◆◆◆

Department of Transport
Directorate of transport

—
Order

No. D.Tpt/EST/244/Dy. D.T./Part File-I/2021/1644

On the recommendation of the Goa Public Service Commission, Panaji conveyed vide their letter No. COM/II/11/49(1)/2006/87 dated 18-06-2021, the Government is pleased to promote Shri Balchandra A. Sawant, Assistant Director of Transport to the post of Deputy Director of Transport (Group 'A' Gazetted) in the Pay Band of Rs. 15,600-39,100+5,400/- (GP) corresponding to

Level 10 of Pay Matrix on regular basis with immediate effect and post him as Deputy Director of Transport, North, Panaji-Goa.

The said officer shall be on probation for a period of two years.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Rajan Satardekar, Director & ex officio Addl. Secretary (Tpt.).

Panaji, 30th June, 2021.

—
Order

No. 5/2/93-Tpt/P.F./2021/1645

Consequent to the promotion of Shri Balchandra A. Sawant and posting him as Deputy Director of Transport, North, Panaji, Shri Pralhad Desai presently posted as Deputy Director of Transport, North, Panaji is transferred and posted as Deputy Director of Transport, South, Margao with immediate effect on administrative grounds and in public interest.

The above Deputy Director of Transport is hereby directed to join the new place of posting immediately and complete handing over/taking over formalities accordingly.

The said officer shall not avail joining period or any type of leave till he assumes charge at the new place of posting.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Rajan Satardekar, Director & ex officio Addl. Secretary (Tpt.).

Panaji, 30th June, 2021.

—
Order

No. 5/2/93-Tpt/P.F./2021/1646

The following Assistant Directors of Transport (Group 'B' Gazetted) are hereby transferred with immediate effect on administrative grounds and in public interest as detailed hereunder:-

Sr. No.	Name of the Assistant Director of Transport	Present posting	Posting on transfer
1.	Shri Sandeep B. Dessai	A.D.T., Dharbandora	A.D.T., Ponda.
2.	Shri Meghashyam Pilankar	A.D.T., Pernem	A.D.T., Bicholim.
3.	Shri Rajesh G. Naik	A.D.T., Ponda	A.D.T., Pernem.

Consequently, Shri Sandeep B. Dessai, Assistant Director of Transport, Ponda (Link Officer) shall hold additional charge as Assistant Director of Transport, Dharbandora in addition to his duties until further orders.

The above Assistant Director of Transport are hereby directed to join the new place of posting immediately and complete handing over/taking over formalities accordingly.

The said officers shall not avail joining period or any type of leave till they assumes charge at the new place of posting.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Rajan Satardekar, Director & ex officio Addl. Secretary (Tpt.).

Panaji, 30th June, 2021.

Order

No. 5/2/93-Tpt/PF/2021/1669

The following Assistant Directors of Transport (Group 'B' Gazetted) are hereby transferred with immediate effect on administrative grounds and in public interest as detailed hereunder:-

Sr. No.	Name of the Assistant Director of Transport	Present posting	Posting on transfer
1	2	3	4
1.	Shri Sandeep B. Dessai	A.D.T., Dharbandora	A.D.T., Ponda.
2.	Shri Rajesh G. Naik	A.D.T., Ponda	A.D.T., Canacona.
3.	Shri Kamlakant B. Karapurkar	A.D.T., Canacona	A.D.T., Bicholim.

Consequently, Shri Sandeep B. Dessai, Assistant Director of Transport, Ponda (Link Officer) shall hold additional charge as Assistant Director of Transport, Dharbandora in addition to his duties until further orders.

The above Assistant Directors of Transport are hereby directed to join the new place of posting immediately and complete handing over/taking over formalities accordingly.

The said Officers shall not avail joining period or any type of leave till they assume charge at the new place of posting.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Rajan Satardekar, Director & ex officio Addl. Secretary (Transport).

Panaji, 02nd July, 2021.

Corrigendum

No. 5/2/93-Tpt/PF/2021/1693

Ref: Order No. 5/2/93/Tpt/PF/2021/1669 dated 2-07-2021.

In partial modification to the above referred Order dated 02-07-2021, the following Assistant Directors of Transport (Group 'B' Gazetted) are transferred with immediate effect on administrative grounds and in public interest as detailed hereunder:-

Sr. No.	Name of the Assistant Director of Transport	Present posting	Posting on transfer
1	2	3	4
1.	Shri Rajesh G. Naik	A.D.T., Ponda	A.D.T., Dharbandora with additional charge as A.D.T., Canacona.

Consequently, Shri Sandeep B. Dessai, Assistant Director of Transport, Ponda stands relieved of the additional charge as Assistant Director of Transport, Dharbandora.

The remaining contents of the said Order dated 02-07-2021 remain unchanged.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Rajan Satardekar, Director & ex officio Addl. Secretary (Transport).

Panaji, 05th July, 2021.



Department of Women & Child Development

Directorate of Women & Child Development

Corrigendum

No. 2-103(63)-2021/DW&CD/1950

Read: 1) Notification No. 2-103(63)-2021/DW&CD/1649 dated 21-06-2021.

The name of the Member cited in Child Welfare Committee, North Goa at Sr. No. 4 may be read as Chandru alias Chandan Gajanan Naik Shirodkar instead of Chandru alias Chandan Gajanan Naik.

The rest of the contents remain unchanged.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Deepali Naik, Director & ex officio Joint Secretary (Women & Child Development).

Panaji, 6th July, 2021.

www.goaprintingpress.gov.in

Published and Printed by the Director, Printing & Stationery,
Government Printing Press,
Mahatma Gandhi Road, Panaji-Goa 403 001.

PRICE—Rs. 23.00

PRINTED AT THE GOVERNMENT PRINTING PRESS, PANAJI-GOA—119/150-7/2021.